252

No. 566.

Motion to advance.

Filed Mar. 10, 1902.

Office Supreme Court U.S.
FILED
MAR 10 1902
JAMES H. MCKENNEY,
Clerk.

In the Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1901.

THE UNITED STATES, APPELLANTS,
v.
ARTHUR B. BARRINGER. } No. 566.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF CLAIMS.

MOTION TO ADVANCE.



In the Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1901.

THE UNITED STATES, APPELLANTS,
v.
ARTHUR B. BARRINGER. } No. 566.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF CLAIMS.

MOTION TO ADVANCE.

The Solicitor-General moves the court to advance this case so that it may be heard early in the next term, and in support of this motion suggests the following grounds:

This case involves the question of the right of the temporary employees of the Government Printing Office to a leave of absence, or to commutation for the same, if not taken.

There are probably 300 employees in the Government Printing Office interested in the decision of this question, and besides the number of persons directly

interested, a decision will to some extent affect the current administration of the Government Printing Office. For these reasons it is thought that a speedy hearing and decision of the cause is desirable.

Counsel for the appellee concur in this motion.

JOHN K. RICHARDS,

Solicitor-General.

O

In the Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1902.

THE UNITED STATES, APPELLANT,
v.
ARTHUR B. BARRINGER. } No. 252.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF CLAIMS.

MOTION TO ADVANCE.

The Solicitor-General moves the court to advance this case so that it may be heard early in the present term, and in support of this motion suggests the following grounds:

A similar motion was submitted to the court on the 10th of March, 1902, the grounds thereof being stated as follows:

> This case involves the question of the right of the temporary employees of the Government Printing Office to a leave of absence or to commutation for the same if not taken.
>
> There are probably 300 employees in the Government Printing Office interested in the decision of this question, and, besides the number of persons directly interested, a decision

will to some extent affect the current administration of the Government Printing Office. For these reasons it is thought that a speedy hearing and decision of the cause is desirable.

Counsel for the appellee concur in this motion.

On the 17th of March this motion was "denied without prejudice."

The motion is now renewed upon the same grounds as those suggested in the original motion, as it is thought that the manner in which it was denied may indicate that the court may be willing to entertain such a motion at this, the succeeding term.

J. K. RICHARDS,

Solicitor-General.

O